

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/270,256	03/15/1999	ILYA KLEBANOV	0100.9900440	2265	
24228 7	590 11/19/2002				
MARKISON & RECKAMP, PC PO BOX 06229 WACKER DR			EXAMINER		
			YANG, RYAN R		
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2672				
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

			HG
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/270,256	KLEBANOV, ILYA	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan R Yang	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reich places the appli	cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ade event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered in	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furtle	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): <u>2-11,13,17-19</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 21 and 22 warmendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)	ould be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely	filed
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: 2-11,13,17-19,21 and 22.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. ☐ Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For claim 14, the applicant argues Broemmelsie does not disclose the location of the active window is associate with a second video memory. This is not persuasive because by drawing "front object 80 into second frame buffer unit 62b in its coordinates in the same way it was drawn into first frame buffer unit 62a", the two display areas are associated. .

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600